* BEFORE THE * ZONING COMMISSIONER

* OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 12th Election District * Case No. 94-32-A

7th Councilmanic District Bruce L. Cameron, et ux Petitioners

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

* * * * * * * * * *

This matter comes before the Zoning Commissioner as an administrative variance filed by Bruce L. Cameron and Barbara J. Cameron, his wife, for that property known as 1951 Codd Avenue in the West Inverness subdivision of Baltimore County. The Petitioners herein seek a variance from Section 102.5 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to permit a 6 ft. high fence and various plantings to be located at the intersection of a street and alley with a height of greater than 3 ft., in a D.R.10.5 zone, as more particularly described on Petitioners' Exhibit No. 1, the plat to accompany the Petition.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Residential Variance and the subject property having been posted, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 26-127 (b)(1) of the Baltimore County Code. Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Zoning Commissioner, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the

B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

This property lies within close proximity to the Chesapeake Bay and is, therefore, subject to the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area legislation found within Section 500.14 of the B.C.Z.R.

In accordance with Section 500.14 of the B.C.Z.R., the Director of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management must submit recommendations which describe what steps the Petitioner must take to insure that the relief requested complies with the following Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas requirements to:

> 1) Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have run off from surrounding lands;

2) Conserve fish, wildlife, and plant habitat; and

3) Be consistent with established land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that, even if pollution is controlled, the number. movement, and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts.

These recommendations have not, as yet, been submitted, as noted

in the Zoning Plans Advisory Committee comments from the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management (D.E.P.R.M.) dated August), 1993 (copy attached). When D.E.P.R.M.'s final comments are completed, they shall be attached hereto and become a permanent part of the decision rendered in this case. There is no evidence in the record that the relief requested would adversely affect the health, safety, and/or general welfare of the public provided there is compliance with the requirements of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this 35 day of August, 1993 that the Petition for a Zoning Variance from Section 102.5 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to permit a 6 ft. high fence and various plantings to be located at the intersection of a street and alley with a height of greater than 3 ft., in a D.R.10.5 zone, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject, however, to the following restrictions which are conditions precedent to the relief granted herein:

> The Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30 day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

2. Compliance with the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management recommendations to be submitted upon completion of their review of this matter.

ZONING COMMISSIONER FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning

Suite 113 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-4386

August 24, 1993

Mr. and Mrs. Bruce L. Cameron 1951 Codd Avenue Dundalk, Maryland 21222

> RE: Petition for Administrative Zoning Variance Case No. 94-32-A Property: 1951 Codd Avenue

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Cameron:

Enclosed please find the decision rendered in the above captioned case. The Petition for an Administrative Variance has been granted, in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event the decision rendered is unfavorable to any party, please be advised that any party may file an appeal within thirty (30) days of the date of the Order to the County Board of Appeals. If you require additional information concerning filing an appeal, please feel free to contact our Appeals Clerk at 887-3391.

Lawrence E. Schmidt

Zoning Commissioner

LES:mmn

Petition for Administrative Variance to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County for the property located at 1951 Codd Avenue

which is presently zoned DR 10.5 This Petition shall be filed with the Office of Zoning Administration & Development Management.

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section(s) 102.5 The second the second of the second to be received at the

recovery to a street and a my with a meight of greater than

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty) Practical Difficulty

5026 ATTLENDE

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. !, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

Cay	State	33-5601 Ziocode	Address		Phone No.
Address	Phone	No	Name		
	Po 19 w	W 21264			
•	الان يوان الان الان الان الان الان الان الان ا	0			
Signature	Capis Trik	70 57	City Name, Address and phone number of	State representative to be o	Zipcodi contacted.
(, Francisco de la constante de	ساند و درونو	Dundalk	MD	21222
Type or Print Name:			Address		Phone No.
JAME	SE Mayou		1951 Codd Ave	nue	
Attorney for Petitioner			•		
City	State	Zipcode	Signature		
			Darban & C	ameron	_
ddress		<u> </u>	(Type or Print Name)	ì	
			Barbara J. Ca	meron	
ignature			Signature		
			Duck	Carri	m
Type or Pnnt Name)			(Type or Print Hame)		,
			Bruce L. Came:	ron	
Contract Purchaser/Lessée			Legal Owner(s)		
			I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, legal owner(s) of the property which is		
			I file do eolemaiu decisee and effirm	inner the canalhas of I	nenin vilatika 1

A Public Hearing having been requested and/or found to be required, it is ordered by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this ____ day of _____ that the subject matter of this petition be set for a public hearing, advertised, as required by the Loning Regulations of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation, throughout Baltimore County, and that the property be reposted.

Affidavit in support of Administrative Variance

The undersigned hereby affirms under the penaltics of perjury to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, as follows: That the information herein given is within the personal knowledge of the Affiant(s) and that Affiant(s) is/are competent to testify thereto in the event that a public hearing is scheduled in the future with regard thereto.

That the Affiant(s) does/do presently reside at 1951 Codd Avenue

That based upon personal knowledge, the following are the facts upon which I/we base the request for an Administrative Variance at the above address: (Indicate hardship or practical difficulty) Practical Difficulty

We own an end of group rowhome with an alley in the rear. A "shadowline" fence was erected at the corner of the alley and the street. Drivers of cars exiting the alley to the street can see through the fence. Strict compliance with 102.5 would prevent us from having fence allowed in other parts of the zone, and cause financial hardships. Because drivers can see through the fence, the spirit of the ordinance is preserved and public safety secured.

That Affiant(s) acknowledge(s) that if a protest is filed, Affiant(s) will be required to pay a reposting and advertising fee and STATE OF MARYLAND, COUNTY OF BALTIMORE, to wit: 1 HEREBY CERTIFY, this 7th day of June

of Maryland, in and for the County aforesaid, personally appeared Bruce L. Cameron and Barbara J. Cameron the Affiants(s) herein, personally known or satisfactorily identified to me as such Affiantt(s), and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts hereinabove set forth are true and correct to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief.

AS WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal. June 7, 1993

My Commission Expires: January 1, 1996

Councilmanic District Election District ___ \2 (north, south, east or west) (number of feet of right-of way width) (street on which property fronts) wide at a distance of (number of feet) (north, south, east or west) centerline of the nearest improved intersecting street Lynch Road wide. *Being Lot # 1 Block 5 , Section # 2 in the subdivision of as recorded in Baltimore County Plat (name of subdivision) _, Folio # 8

(square feet and acres)

	F	Rall more County Zoning Administration & Development Manageme 111 West Chosopouke Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204
Date	7/22	

District 1224

Account: R-001-6150

1 RES NOW Jeling fee code 010: 50.00 1 Jeling fee code 080 35.00 70TAL 85.00

Brus + Barban Commen

Location of property: 1927 Gold Arry NE Ken Cold Arry & Lynch Rd Location of Signer Free Line Live to the to the grant of the grant of the state of

RE: Case No. 94-32-A, Item No. 38 Petitioner: Bruce L. Cameron, et ux Petition for Administrative Variance

Dear Mr. McAvoy:

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee (ZAC) has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition. The attached comments from each reviewing agency are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties, i.e., Zoning Commissioner, attorney and/or the petitioner, are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this case.

Enclosed are all comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offer or request information on your petition. If additional comments are received from other members of ZAC, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on August 8, 1993 and a hearing was scheduled accordingly.

with this office. 1. The director of Zoning Administration and Development Management has instituted a system whereby seasoned zoning attorneys who feel that they are capable of filing petitions that comply with all aspects of the zoning regulations and petitions filing requirements can file their petitions with this office without the necessity of a preliminary review by zoning personnel.

The following comments are related only to the filing of future zoning petitions and are aimed at expediting the petition filing process

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Arnold Jablon, Director DATE: August 6, 1993 Zoning Administration and Development Management

FROM: Robert W. Bowling, Senior Engineer Development Plan Review Section

RE: Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting for August 9, 1993 Item No. 38

The Development Plan Review Section has reviewed the subject coning item The proposed fence and planting should not block the line of sight.

RWB:s

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

August 9, 1993

Mr. Arnold Jablon, Director Zoning Administration and Development Management

J. Lawrence Pilson Development Coordinator, DEPRM

SUBJECT: Zoning Item #38 Cameron Property, 1951 Codd Avenue Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of August 2, 1993

The Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management offers the following comments on the above-referenced zoning item.

1. The Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management requests an extension for the review of the above-referenced zoning item to determine whether the proposed development is in compliance with the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area regulations. The applicant must submit a Critical Area Findings Plan for review and approval by this Department prior to preparation of a Critical Area Findings for the development. The applicant may contact the Environmental Impact Review Section at 887-3980 for details.

JLP:sp

CAMERON/DEPRM/TXTSBP

O. James Lighthizer Hal Kassoff Administrator

7 17 75

Item No.: * - (Till)

Re: Baltimore County

Zoning Administration and Development Management County Office Building Room 109 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towcon Maryland 21204

Dear Ms. Kehring:

Ms. Helene Kehring

This office has reviewed the referenced item and we have no objection to approval as it does not access a State roadway and is not effected by any State Highway Administration projects.

Please contact Bob Small at 410-333-1350 if you have any questions.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this item.

Loto Anall

John Contestabile, Chief Engineering Access Permits

My telephone number is _____

Teletypewriter for impaired Hearing or Speech 383-7555 BaitImore Metro - 565-0451 D.C. Metro - 1-800-492-5062 Statewide Toll Free 707 North Calvert St., BaitImore, Maryland 21203-0717

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Arnold Jablon, Director Zoning Administration and

Item Nos. 30, 35, 36 and 38.

DATE: August 9, 1993

Development Management

FROM: Pat Keller, Deputy Director Office of Planning and Zoning

Petitions from Zoning Advisory Committee

The Office of Planning and Zoning has no comments on the following petition(s):

If there should be any further questions or if this office can provide additional information, please contact Jeffrey Long in the Office of Planning at 887-3480.

PK/JL:lw

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Inter-Office Correspondence

Zoning Advisory Committee

DATE: August 3, 1993

Captain Jerry Pfeifer

SUBJECT: August 9, 1993 Meeting

No Comments

No Comments

No Comments

No Comments

No Comments

Building shall comply with the 1991 Life Safety Code.

of handling 50,000 lbs. on 2 axles.

Surface for Fire Department access shall be paved and capable

No Comments

4314 13 Charlotte

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Arnold E. Jablon, Director

DATE: September 3, 1993 Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management

J. James Dieter

SUBJECT: Petition for Zoning Variance - Item 38 Cameron Property Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Findings

SITE LOCATION

The subject property is located at 1951 Codd Avenue. The site is within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area and is classified as an Intensely Developed Area (IDA).

APPLICANT'S NAME Mr. and Mrs. Bruce Cameron

APPLICANT PROPOSAL

The applicant has requested a variance from section 102.5 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations to permit "a six foot high fence and various plantings to be located at the intersection of a street and alley with a height of greater than three feet."

GOALS OF THE CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREA PROGRAM

In accordance with the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Program, all project approvals shall be based on a finding which assures that proposed projects are consistent with the following goals of the Critical Area Law:

1. "Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have runoff from surrounding lands:

2. Conserve fish, wildlife and plant habitat; and

3. Establish land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement, and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts" <COMAR 14.15.10.01.0>.



Mr. Arnold E. Jablon September 3, 1993

DEFINITIONS

"Development Activities" means the construction or substantial alteration of residential, commercial, industrial, or transportation facilities or structures." <COMAR 14.15.01.01.A(21)>.

REGULATIONS AND FINDINGS

Regulation: "New development and redevelopment within the IDA shall use Best Management Practices or other technology which reduces pollutant loadings by 10% of the on-site level prior to new development or redevelopment" <Baltimore County Code Section 26-452(a)>.

<u>Finding:</u> No proposed development activities as defined above, are proposed for this site. Therefore, this project shall not need to reduce pollutant loadings by 10% of the on-site level. However, any construction or alteration of structures shall be required to comply with all Critical Area requirements.

CONCLUSION

This project proposal does not require a Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Findings for the reasons stated above.

Please contact Ms. Patricia M. Farr at 887-3980 if you require additional information.

> Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management

JJD:NSP:tmm

cc: Mr. and Mrs. Bruce Cameror

CODD/DEPRM/WOCBCA

ZAC.30/PZONE/ZAC1













